EXHIBIT H

Case 3:07-cv-03386-JSW Document 58-9 Filed 09/19/2008 Page 2 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

---000---

PATRICIA DeSANTIS, individually and as Successor in Interest for RICHARD DeSANTIS, deceased, and as Guardian Ad Litem for DANI DeSANTIS, a minor and TIMOTHY FARRELL, a minor,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. C-07 3386 JSW & C-07-4474

CITY OF SANTA ROSA, JERRY SOARES, RICH CELL, TRAVIS MENKE, PATRICIA MANN, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF PMK OF SANTA ROSA POLICE DEPARTMENT
SERGEANT CLAY VAN ARTSDALEN

July 24, 2008

REPORTED BY: A. MAGGI SAUNDERS,

C.S.R. No. 2755



U	SDC, NORTHERN DIST OF CA, No	oa, elim o. C-0733	11. 38 11. 38	Page po of PMK SGT. CLAY VAN ARTSDALEN SW _{illed} 00/40/2008 - Page 2 of 0 July 24, 2008
	Case 3:07-cv-03386-JSW Doc	cument 5	3-9 T-	
1 1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		[BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			2 of Taking Deposition, and on Thursday, the 24th day
3	000		1	3 of July, 2008, commencing at the hour of 10:22
4	PATRICIA DESANTIS,) individually and as Successor)			4 o'clock a.m. thereof, at the SCOTT LAW FIRM, 1375
3	in Interest for RICHARD) Desantis, deceased, and as)			5 Sutter Street, Suite 222, San Francisco, California
6	Guardian Ad Litem for DANI) Desantis, a minor and TIMOTHY)			6 94109, (415) 561-9600, before me, A. MAGGI SAUNDERS,
- 1	FARRELL. a minor,)			7 a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State
8	Plaintiffs,)		1	8 of California, there personally appeared,
9	vs.) No. C-07 3386 JSW &			9
01	C-07-4474		10	PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FROM SANTA ROSA POLICE
112	CITY OF SANTA ROSA, JERRY SOARES, RICH CELL, TRAVIS OF SANTA ROSA, JERRY SOARES, RICH CELL, TRAVIS OF SANTA ROSA, JERRY		1	DEPARTMENT,
12	MENKE, PATRICIA MANN, and DOES) 1 through 25, inclusive,		12	
13	Defendants.			3 called as a witness by the Plaintiffs, who, being by
14	}		14	4 me first duly sworn, was thereupon examined and
15			1:	5 interrogated as hereinafter set forth.
16			16	5
			17	7oOo
18	DEPOCITION OF DAME OF CANCEL POST POST POST		18	3
19	DEPOSITION OF PMK OF SANTA ROSA POLICE DEPARTMENT		19	SCOTT LAW FIRM, 1375 Sutter Street, Suite
20	SERGEANT CLAY VAN ARTSDALEN		20	222, San Francisco, California 94109, (415) 561-9600,
21	July 24, 2008		21	represented by JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT, ESQ., appeared as
22			22	counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs.
	BERNOTED BY. A MACCUCALINISERS		23	3
25	REPORTED BY: A. MAGGI SAUNDERS. C.S.R. No. 2755		24	CITY OF SANTA ROSA, OFFICE OF THE CITY
23	C.S.R. NO. 2755	Pag	ge 1 25	ATTORNEY, 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Santa Rosa,
				Page 3
1	INDEX		1	California 95402-1678, (707) 543-3040, represented by
2			2	CAROLINE L. FOWLER, SANTA ROSA CITY ATTORNEY, and
3	Page		3	JOHN FRITSCH, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY, appeared as
4	Examination by MR. SCOTT	8	4	counsel on behalf of Defendants.
5			5	
6	EXHIBITS		6	EUREKA STREET LEGAL VIDEO, 511 Eureka
7			7	Street, San Francisco, California 94114, (415)
8	FOR PLAINTIFFS Page		ļ	643-9190, represented by STEPHEN STATLER, PRINICPAL
9	1 Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs'	5		VIDEOGRAPHER, appeared to videotape the proceedings
10	Special Interrogatories, Set Two		10	
11	2 Defendant City of Santa Rosa's	5	11	
12	Response To Plaintiff's Request For		12	
13	Production of Documents (Set One)		13	
14	3 Defendant City of Santa Rosa's	5	14	
15	Response To Plaintiff's Request For		15	
16	Production of Documents, Set Two		16	
17	4 Notice of Deposition of City of	5	17	
18	Santa Rosa's Person(s) Most		18	
19	Knowledgeable		19	
20	5 Letter dated July 15, 2008 to Mr.	5	20	
21	Scott from Ms. Fowler, Esq., RE:	-	21	
22	List of documents response to		22	
23	request		23	
24			24	
25			25	
L Z . 3			4.5	
43		Page	2	Page 4

July 24, 2008 USDC, NORTHERN DIST OF CA, No. C-07 3386 JSW Case 3.07-cv-03386-JSW E Okay. And as best you can recall -- and I Page 4 of 9 someone else in the City? 2 just want an estimate -- on average, of those three 2 MS. FOWLER: It's already been asked and 3 years you were the Training Manager, what was the 3 answered several times. 4 budget, approximately? 4 MR. SCOTT: Q. Oh, I'm sorry. You don't 5 A. Well, because they change every --5 know? MS. FOWLER: For training? Well, ask me the question again. 6 6 7 I'm just trying to determine if you recall MR. SCOTT: Yes. 7 8 THE WITNESS: For training, it was 8 an occasion where you recommended particular training 9 approximately 260,000 per year. that did not -- was not ultimately approved, for MR. SCOTT: Q. That would be plus or 10 whatever reason? 10 11 minus, but approximately 260,000? A. Again, without -- I don't have the Yes. 12 specifics but, you know, training is recommended all 12 A. And do you recall, of that 260,000, 13 the time, and not always approved, so --14 approximately what percentage, or how much of that went You don't ---14 Q. 15 to the S.W.A.T. training? 15 Α. -- to answer your question --I don't know. 16 16 0. -- recall? 17 No idea? 17 Yes, but I don't know specifics. 18 A. No. 18 Q. All right. 19 Q. Would that be documented, as far as you 19 Now, if you would look at Exhibit No. 4, 20 please, and it's in this pile here, it's the Notice 20 know? 21 A. Yes. 21 of your Deposition. All right. And the budget, this budget 22 Q. 22 A. Yes. 23 process, to your knowledge, is this a document that 23 And this is for: 24 would be submitted annually to the City officials, or 24 "The Person or Persons Most 25 whoever the decision-makers were for the City, in 25 Knowledgeable regarding training Page 47 Page 45 1 deciding what the Department's budget would be for a received by the Santa Rosa Police ì 2 particular year? 2 Officers from 2002 to 2007, relating: Is that the City Council, do you know, 3 3 "One: to the use of force, both lethal 4 who ultimately made those decisions? 4 and non-lethal; A. Do I know? 5 5 "And, two, 5150 situations." Yes. 6 Q. 6 Do you see that? 7 No, I don't know. 7 A. All right. Did you ever go to any And to your knowledge, are you the Person 9 meetings where the budget was discussed for training. 9 Most Knowledgeable regarding training during that 10 in terms of the budget being reviewed or approved by 10 period of time relating to both lethal and nonlethal 11 City officials or the City Council? 11 force? So, are you asking, was I invited to a 12 12 A. Yes. 13 meeting with City Council regarding the budget? 13 Okay. And, to your knowledge, are you the Q. Right. 14 Person Most Knowledgeable regarding training received 14 No. 15 by Santa Rosa Police Officers between 2002 and 2007 15 A. But have you ever seen the document that 16 16 relating to 5150 situations? 17 would be submitted to the City Council? 17 Yes. A. The final document, no. 18 18 All right. When you were the Training 19 Okay. Did you ever see drafts of the Q. 19 Manager, was any training given to Santa Rosa Police 20 document --Officers regarding 5150, or 5150-type situations? 21 No. 21 Yes, but not using the term "5150". 22 Q. -- before it was submitted? 22 We did the Tactical Communication 23 No. 23 Training, which is required by P.O.S.T., and we also Α. 24 Do you recall ever recommending any 24 had training with -- dealing with emotionally-disturbed 25 training that was not approved, either by the Chief, or 25 people, or EDP training. Page 46 Page 48

MODO OF FIXING

Beautio vo. Citi of Santa No

DeSANTIS VS. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, ET MILITI-Page of PMK SGT. CLAY VAN ARTSDALEN July 24, 2008 USDC CNORTHERNOBIST OF WCA, Now Green 1338 to JSW Filed 09/19/2008 Page 5 of 9 were no other materials. Q. Was that given annually, to your Q. Okay. So there would have been an 2 knowledge? 2 3 in-house Power Point presentation that was not a A. It was in conjunction with the Tactical P.O.S.T.-certified document --4 Communications that was held every other year. Q. And the Tactical Communication training, A. Correct. 6 that would be different from the EDT, or the 6 o. -- material. And then, in addition, you believe there 7 Emotionally-Disturbed Training, or was it part of the 7 was a P.O.S.T. booklet handed out. same class? A. Correct. 9 MS. FOWLER: EDP. Q. And do you recall what the title was of MR. SCOTT: Q. I'm sorry, EDP. And the 10 10 11 this P.O.S.T. booklet? "P" stands for? 11 A. I don't know the exact title, but I A. Persons. 12 12 13 believe it was dealing with the mentally-ill. Q. Okay, thank you. 13 Q. Based on your experience with the Santa And was that in part of the same class, 14 14 Rosa Police Department and as the Training Manager, was 15 or two different classes? that a common phenomenon, for Santa Rosa Police A. They were essentially two different 16 Officers to have to deal with the mentally-ill, with a 17 classes, but taught back-to-back. Q. And, to your knowledge, who taught them? 18 mentally-ill person? MS. FOWLER: I'm going to object to the A. They were mostly our hostage negotiators, 19 19 phrase "common [occurrence]" as vague and ambiguous. 20 so I was one of the instructors over the years; MR. SCOTT: Q. By that I mean daily, or 21 Officer Mark Azzouni, A-z-z-o-u-n-i; 21 Officer Wade Alred, A-l-r-e-d; 22 22 almost daily. Officer Brad Conners, C-o-n-n-e-r-s; 23 A. No, not daily; and not even almost daily. 23 And I believe that's it. 24 I couldn't give you a time frame but, 24 Q. All right. Was there a -- any written 25 again, not on a daily. 25 Page 51 Page 49 Was it documented how often it occurred? 1 materials for that training class? 1 The only time it would be documented is if 2 2 Yes. someone was taken into protective custody. Q. Okay. And was that P.O.S.T. materials, or 3 4 something else? But if there was a call that did not 4 A. Not P.O.S.T. materials. So there was. . . 5 require somebody being taken into protective custody, 5 and no report was generated, there would not be MR. SCOTT: I'm sorry, are you okay? 6 7 documentation. The only other documentation would be 7 MS. FOWLER: I squished my finger. MR. SCOTT: Let's go back to square one. 8 call for service. 8 What was the middle of the question? O. Okay. And were statistics kept of this (The record was read by information, other than who would have been detained 10 11 under a 5150? the Reporter as requested.) 11 12 MR. SCOTT: Thank you. We'll come back to A. No. Q. And, to your knowledge, were there other 13 it: O. If you could complete that answer, in 14 incidents where an arrest occurred and, where it wasn't 14 15 a 5150, but an arrest occurred where the person 15 terms of what written materials there were for the Tactical Communication training class? 16 arrested was believed to have been, or ultimately A. Sure. The materials were designed after 17 identified, as being mentally-ill? 17 A. I'm sure there have been. some training received several years ago, so we -- we 18 19 have Power Point presentation that we use. And -- I'm sorry, could you ask that O. In addition to Power Point, were there 20 question one more time? 20 written materials handed out to students? 21 Q. Fine. To your knowledge, were there 21

25 booklet that was handed out. Other than that, there Page 50

I believe a -- there was a P.O.S.T.

A. No. Oh, I take that back. There...

I'm trying to think. . . .

22

23

MS. FOWLER: Well, I'm going to object.

22 police reports, incident reports where a person was

24 being mentally-ill?

23 arrested who was either -- at some point identified as

Page 52

Depo of PMK SGT. CLAY VAN ARTSDALEMulti-Daga'NTIS VS. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, ET AL. July 24, 2008 Case 3:07-cv-03386-JSW DOCUMENT NORTHERN DIST OF CA, No. C. 07, 3386 JSW 1 this, when I was a Training Manager, but it looks like 2 some things may have changed. 2 Q. All right. Q. All right. And would it be fair to say 3 Now, if you would look at the second page 4 that when you were the Training Manager, there was a 4 of Exhibit No. 1, and I understand you did not prepare 5 this document, and I understand you did not sign the 5 number of types of training that was given that are not identified on this one page? 6 verification, and I understand you just recently saw it 7 A. Yes. 7 for the first time; So, just so it's understood between you Q. All right. And is it your understanding 8 9 that this simply attempts to describe at least 9 and me, I'm not -- I'm not assuming that you 10 necessarily know from personal belief what's in 10 someone's opinion of what P.O.S.T. training is 11 mandated, versus what P.O.S.T. training is recommended 11 here --12 by P.O.S.T., but not mandated? 12 Correct --A. O. -- but you may. 13 A. It appears that this is the Mandated 13 A. -- I've not read this. 14 Training for Peace Officers and Dispatchers. 14 Right, but I'm just trying to find out to Okay, at the top. 15 15 16 what extent there is information here that may be your 16 But then, where you see it says, personal knowledge, versus because, if it is your 17 "Recommended training, per legislative mandate, but personal knowledge, I'm entitled to know that; and if 18 not mandated"; do you see that? you don't know, you don't know, all right? 19 Oh, okay, yes. If you'll note, in the response to 20 Q. Okay. Is it your understanding the 20 21 Interrogatory No. 6, it seems to say that: 21 training below that line is training that is simply "In this period from 2002 to 2007, there 22 recommended, but not mandated? 22 were four shooting incidents: Two 23 I'm assuming, based on the line you just 23 resulted in death of the suspect, and 24 read. 24 two resulted in non-fatal injuries.' 25 25 Q. All right. And was one of your Page 111 Page 109 That's at line 18 and 19. Do you see 1 responsibilities to see that the mandated training, I 2 which would be near the upper portion of this document, 2 that? 3 that Santa Rosa Police Officers received the mandated Yes. 3 A. 4 training? Is that one of your responsibilities? Okay. Based on just your personal 4 5 knowledge, having worked in the Department during that 5 A. Yes. Okay. And did you keep any records of 6 period of time and being the Training Manager during 7 some of that time, do those numbers sound correct to 7 what training was given on an annual basis, in addition 8 you? 8 to mandated training when you were the Training 9 Manager? 9 Α. Yes. All right. And the two shooting incidents 10 MS. FOWLER: Him, personally? 10 11 that resulted in death, both in 2007, are you familiar 11 MR. SCOTT: Q. Yes. 12 at all with those two incidents? 12 A. No. To your knowledge, does such a document 13 Yes. 13 Ο. A. 14 And how did you become aware of them? 14 exist? 15 Yes. 15 The first one, I forget the name of the A. 16 case, but I was actually on-duty, and I was on a -- as 16 Where is it? Q. 17 a supervisor, I was on the very far perimeter during 17 That, I don't know, since there has been a 18 change, with -- It was kept by the Training 18 the shooting. Q. Okay. And who were the Officers involved 19

Coordinator, Shelley Walker. 19 20 Q. Okay. And what did you understand to be

21 the purpose of keeping that document?

22 A. Just having a history of the training that 23 we conducted; and then, if we wanted to do training 24 again, we wouldn't then have to reinvent the wheel, we 25 could just use the same basis of training, or training

Page 110

20 in shooting that suspect? MS. FOWLER: Well, I'm going to object, to 21 22 the extent that that invades the right to privacy of

23 the Officers in that there may be pending disciplinary,

24 criminal and/or civil matters. 25

And I would instruct you not to answer

Page 112

DeSANTIS VS. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, ET MILITI-Pagnepo of PMK SGT. CLAY VAN ARTSDALEN USDC ONORTHERNOUSSE OF VCA, Documen 3 869 SW Filed 09/19/2008 Page 7 of 9 July 24, 2008 Q. Well, let's start with: 1 that question. MR. SCOTT: Well, you know if there are or 2 To your knowledge, have any Officers 3 ever been disciplined for the excessive Use-of-Force? 3 there aren't. Are you telling me there are pending A. And I think I answered that, but I'm not 4 disciplinary or criminal matters? MS. FOWLER: I don't know necessarily 5 aware of that. 5 6 about disciplinary actions, as I don't get involved in Oh, I see. Okay. So, if it happened, it happened without 7 the Department's disciplinary --MR. SCOTT: Okay. your knowledge. 8 A. Correct. 9 MS. FOWLER: - proceedings, so I don't 9 Q. All right. And if I understand you 10 know that, and he's not answering those questions. 11 correctly, when you were the Training Manager, you were MR. SCOTT: All right. So -- And you know 12 that there is no criminal pending, right; you know 12 never asked to give remedial training for any 13 situations involving Use-of-Force; is that correct? 13 that? MS. FOWLER: On this particular shooting, A. Correct. 14 15 the DA has released a report, which I've already 15 Q. Now, the two shooting incidents between 16 2002 and 2007 that did not result in death, do you know 16 provided to you -anything about those two incidents? MR. SCOTT: Right. 17 MS. FOWLER: -- indicating that they have 18 A. No. Not with just the dates. 18 Okay. Have you ever been involved as a 19 19 found no criminal activity. 20 Santa Rosa Police Officer in a shooting incident? MR. SCOTT: Right. 20 Q. To your knowledge, Sergeant, can a Santa A. No. 21 21 22 Rosa Police Officer violate the Department's Shooting 22 Okay. Have you ever fired your weapon in 23 Policy, without committing a crime? 23 the line of --MS. FOWLER: Well, other than to the MS. FOWLER: I'm going to object, to the 24 24 25 extent that that calls for a legal conclusion. 25 extent he already testified he was present at --Page 115 Page 113 MR. SCOTT: Right. MR. SCOTT: Q. I just want to know what 1 MS. FOWLER: -- the one shooting. 2 2 you know about the policies of the Department in MR. SCOTT: Q. Correct. 3 relation to the Use-of-Force. 3 And have you ever fired your weapon A. Yes. 4 4 on-duty, other than for training purposes? Q. Okay. And, to your knowledge, have A. No. 6 Officers ever been disciplined for the excessive Q. Now, if you look at the Answer to 7 Use-of-Force -- Don't give me names --7 8 Interrogatory No. 7, it starts at the bottom of page 8 A. Mm-hmm. two and goes up to the bottom of page three. And it Q. -- where they were not criminally states that: 10 prosecuted for that use-of-force? "There are 58,758 incident reports A. Not that I'm aware of. 11 11 involving arrest between 2002 and 2007." 12 12 Okay. So, at least based on your Do you know how that number was 13 knowledge, which obviously may be limited, but based on 13 14 determined? 14 your knowledge and experience, where Officers have been A. No. 15

- 15 disciplined for excessive Use-of-Force, have been
- 16 situations where Officers have also been prosecuted for
- 17 that.
- MS. FOWLER: Well, I'm going to object. 18
- 19 That assumes facts not in evidence. He hasn't
- 20 testified that there were such situations.
- Q. I'm just asking you, is that what you 21
- 22 said?
- 23 A. No, I'm not aware --
- Well, why don't you ask the question 24
- 25 again.

23

Page 114

- Now, Interrogatory No. 8 here is asking 16
- for "Number of times a Police Officer used a Taser
- on-duty between 2002 and 2007".
- To your knowledge, did the Department keep 19
- 20 any logs of use of a Taser by an Officer on-duty
- 21 between 2002 and 2007?
- A. Well, what do you mean by "log"? 22
 - Q. Any kind of record, by anybody,
- 24 supervisor, Lieutenant, anybody who kept track of --
- MS. FOWLER: Statistics.

Page 116

July 24, 2008_{Case 3:07-cv-03386-JSW} DOCUMEN, SORTHERN DIST OF CA, Non-CO 3386 JSW l MS. FOWLER: Page 20. 1 information was obtained? No. 2 MR. SCOTT: Q. I'm sorry, No. 20, page 7, 2 Α. Okay. And then it goes on to say: 3 3 it says: O. "There were approximately 1,746 cases 4 "There is not any mandated training on 5 during this period that were reported as persons 5 Section 5150 of the Welfare and 6 being detained under that section. Do you see that? 6 Institutions Code under P.O.S.T. 7 7 A. Yes. requirements, and Santa Rosa does not 8 And do you know where that number came have any specific mandated training 8 Q. 9 from? 9 limited to Section 5150." A. No. 10 Do you see that? 10 All right. As the Training Manager, was 11 11 12 this type of information brought to your attention, in 12 Q. And to your knowledge, was that true when 13 other words, this type of statistics? you were the Training Manager? 13 A. No. 14 A. Yes. 14 Now, if a 5150 incident involved 15 MS. FOWLER: And just for the record, the 15 Q. 16 Use-of-Force, it would be routed to you, unless it was 16 rest of that stated that: 17 investigated by an outside agency? "This subject matter is covered as parts 17 A. No. 18 of other mandated or recommended 18 O. Okay. So, the Use-of-Force incidents that 19 training that's provided." 19 20 came out of 5150s were not routed to you. 20 MR. SCOTT: That is correct, it does say 21 Correct. 21 that. And do you know why that was? 22 Q. Now, if you will go to page five of this 22 The reports that were routed to me were 23 document, please, and if you would look at 23 24 Interrogatory 13 and the Answer to No. 13, if you would 24 codified. So, if it involved a Section of 148 or 243B, 25 Records knew to forward that to me. 25 just read the Answer to No. 13 to yourself, and then I Page 127 Page 125 All right. 1 will ask you some questions about it. Į Q. A. (Complies). The 5150 was not codified with that 2 A. MS. FOWLER: Just for the record, I will 3 specifically. 3 4 give you a little bit of latitude, but this is beyond O. Were you aware that some police 4 5 the scope of what he's been designated to testify 5 departments keep a record of 148s and 243s, to identify 6 Officers who may be violence-prone? 6 about. And he's already told you that he was not the 7 person who participated in preparing these responses to A. I'm not aware of that. Q. Okay. Have you ever discussed that with 8 interrogatories. 9 anyone at the Santa Rosa Police Department? MR. SCOTT: I understand that, and I'm 10 just -- I'm going to -- This is foundational to ask 10 A. No. 11 questions that could be related to training, and I'm Q. Did you ever attempt to analyze if there 11 12 asking the questions for that purpose. 12 was a relatively small group of Officers who were Q. Now, have you had a chance to read the 13 responsible for the majority of the 148 and 243s? 13 14 response to Interrogatory No. 13? 14 A. No. A. Yes. 15 To your knowledge, does the Department 15 16 keep track of the outcome of the 148 and 243 arrests, Q. Okay. And do you see there are some 16 17 numbers in here, where it says: 17 in other words, whether there was a prosecution and, if "From December of 2002, to 18 so, whether there was a conviction? 18 December 2007, the Department received A. I'm not aware of anything that -- or 19 19 reports of approximately 3,186 incidents 20 anyone that keeps track of that. 20 Q. To your knowledge, do other departments identified as possibly involving a 21 21 22 keep track of that? 22 '5150' issue." 23 Do you see that? 23 A. I don't know. Q. In your three years as the Training 24 A. Yes. 25 Manager, how many times did you talk to the Chief? 25 All right. Do you know how this Page 128 Page 126

Depo of PMK SGT. CLAY VAN ARTSDALEMulti-Daga'NTIS VS. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, ET AL.

DEPOSITION OF PMK SGT. CLAY VAN ARTSDALEN Case 3:07-cv-03386-JSW Document 58-9

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) SS.

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, A. MAGGI SAUNDERS, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California, duly appointed and licensed to administer oaths and so forth, do hereby certify:

That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth;

That the deposition was reported by me, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and disinterested person, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction;

That if the deposition has not been signed by the time of trial, a reasonable opportunity having been given the witness to do so, signature has been waived in accordance with stipulation between counsel.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and subscribed my signature this 28th day of July, 2008.

> A. MAGGI SAUNDERS, C.S.R. No. 2755, Certified Shorthand Reporter,

In and For the State of California

a. magi deunders Cope